# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

DEC 1 2 2002

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: ANTHONY S. VOLPE VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. SUITE 400. ONE PENN CENTER

# ${f PCT}$ volpe & koenig, p.c.

1617 JOHN F. KENNEDY BOULEVARD PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANNIA 19103	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION				
	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference					
1-2-0203.2WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No.	International filing date				
PCT/US02/26591	(day/month/year) 21 AUGUST 2002				
Applicant INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION					
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report has been established and is transmitted herewith.  Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):  When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.  Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35  For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.  2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.  3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.  no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.  4. Reminders  Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in rules 90 bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.  Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (eito some Offices even laterly; otherwise					
acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.  In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.  See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicants's					
Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet	site.				

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231 Faccimila Va /709) ens. enen Authorized officer

Partigenia Jogan

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

DEC 1 2 2002

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: ANTHONY S. VOLPE VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. SUITE 400, ONE PENN CENTER	PCT NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION				
1617 JOHN F. KENNEDY BOULEVARD PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANNIA 19103					
	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 09 DEC 2002				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 1-2-0203.2WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US02/26591	International filing date (day/month/year) 21 AUGUST 2002				
Applicant INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION					
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report has been established and is transmitted herewith.  Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:  The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):  When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.  Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35  For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.  2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.  3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.  In o decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be published by the International Bureau.  Feminders  Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in rules 90 bis. 1 and 90bis. 3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.  Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise t					

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3030

Authorized officer

Telephone No

SALVATORE CANDIAL DELINIO ZOGAN

Jenhone No. (703) 305-1387

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the	Applicant's or agent's file reference 1-2-0203.2WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
Applicant INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION  This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of	International application No.	International filing da	te (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority	Date (day/month/year)	
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of	PCT/US02/26591	21 AUGUST 2002		24 AUGUST	2001	
This international search report consists of a total of sheets.    X						
X   It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the report  a With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 25.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international application in written form.  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with, the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  x the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  None of the figures.						
1. Basis of the report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international searce was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing contained in the international application in computer readable form.  filled together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the use statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 58.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  G. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5  X as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	This international search report consis	ts of a total of $\red {\mathcal Z}$ she	ets.			
a With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  None of the figures.	<del></del>			report.		
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international searce was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  Sas suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   None of the figures.  None of the figures.	Authority (Rule 25.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search					
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the be statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   None of the figures.  None of the figures.	contained in the international application in written form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   None of the figures.  None of the figures.	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  4. With regard to the title,	furnished subsequently to the	nis Authority in written fo	orm.			
the the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  4. With regard to the title,  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	furnished subsequently to the	nis Authority in computer	readable form.			
the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   None of the figures.  None of the figures.						
Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  4. With regard to the title,  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been					
4. With regard to the title,    X						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).					
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	4. With regard to the title,					
5. With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  Done of the figures.	X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.					
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	the text has been established	ed by this Authority to r	ead as follows:			
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	5 With regard to the abstract					
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.   X as suggested by the applicant.  Done of the figures.		mitted by the applicant.				
X as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.  None of the figures.	the text has been establishe Box III. The applicant may,	d, according to Rule 38.2 within one month from t				
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	6. The figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstra	ct is Figure No. 8	_		
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	X as suggested by the applica	nt.			None of the figures	
because this figure better characterizes the invention.	because the applicant failed	to suggest a figure.		ل_ا	rone of the figures.	
	because this figure better c	haracterizes the inventio	n.			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July 1998)+

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US02/26591

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

The technical features mentioned in the abstract do not include a reference sign between parentheses (PCT Rule 8.1(d)).

### **NEW ABSTRACT**

A physical automatic repeat request method implemented by a base station(30) having a transmitter(38) and a receiver(52). A physical layer, at the transmitter(38) receives data and formats the received data into packets having a particular encoding/data modulation. The physical layer transmits the packets and retransmits packets upon failure to receive a corresponding acknowledgment(56) for a given packet. An adaptive modulation and coding controller in the transmitter collects retransmission statistics(60) and adjusts the particular encoding/data modulation using the collected statistics(62).

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US02/26591

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(7) :H04J 13/00; H04J 11/00					
	:370/328 to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bot	h national classification and IPC			
B. FIEL	LDS SEARCHED				
Minimum d	locumentation searched (classification system followe	ed by classification symbols)			
U.S. :	370/328, 347, 449; 375/130,131				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	opropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y	US 5,280,498 A (TYMES ET AL) 18 January 1994, See Figs. 9-10,12.		1-9		
Y	US 5,544,196 A (TIEDEMANN, JR. Fig. 6b.	1-9			
Y	US 6,049,549 A (GANZ ET AL) 11 A	1-9			
!					
	·				
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.					
* Special categories of cited documents:  "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention					
	be of particular relevance rlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the			
"L" doc	cument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is ed to establish the publication date of another citation or other scial reason (as specified)	considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the			
"O" doc	cument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	considered to involve an inventive step with one or more other such docum obvious to a person skilled in the art	when the document is combined		
	cument published prior to the international filing date but later an the priority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent	family		
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international se	arch report		
06 NOVEMBER 2002 U 9 DEC 2002			2002		
Commissio Box PCT	nailing address of the ISA/US oner of Patents and Trademarks	Authorized officer SALVATORE CANGELOSIO	Lonar		

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- (Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51):
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
  "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
  "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
  "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

The statement should be brief, it should not exceed 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confounded with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate shoet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It should not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in the report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### In what language?

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is published. The letter and any statement accompanying the amendments must be in the same language as the international application if that language is English or French; otherwise, it must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed?

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

## Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase?

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.